

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,347	10/31/2003	Xiaochun Li	539.005	2522	
7598 7590 VOIDEOR OF THE OF TH			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			EVANS, GEOFFREY S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , ,			3742		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/699,347		LI, XIAOCHUN	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Geoffrey S. Evans	3742	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
periods:
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed.
set rout in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the ordine later than the months after the maining date of the linear rejection, even it unless med, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a

Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS**

3.	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
	(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	appeal; and/or
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).
7.	☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6.8-14 and 16-27</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
ΑF	FFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
В.	☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9.	☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1), REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: .

/Geoffrey S Evans/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742 Continuation of 3, NOTE: There is no disclosure in the originally filed specification of using a laser beam with a wavelength of 150 nm to 280 nm with an average power of at least about 10W. Please note that page 10,lines 11-17 of the specification specifically discloses an ND:YAG laser that produces pulses with average power up to 3.5 W at 266 nm. There is support for up to 10 W at 355nm and above 10 W at 532 nm and 1064 nm. Further search would be required to see if the 10 W at about 150nm to about 280 nm is known in the prior art for laser ablation of bioological material/flood.